Investigation of Vortex Development during Polymer Melt Flows by Flow Birefringence
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Abstract. The vortex development of low-density polyethylene Lupolen 1840H polymer melt under various processing conditions has been investigated by flow induced stress birefringence technique. It has been revealed that effect of mass flow rate and temperature on the vortex size has non-monotonic character, which is in good agreement with laser-Doppler velocimetry based measurements reported for the same polymer in the open literature. This suggests that the flow induced stress birefringence technique can be considered as the quick and reasonably precise tool for vortices shape/size visualization and quantification at the slit die entry region.
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INTRODUCTION

“In 1866 I made some attempts to ascertain whether the state of strain in a viscous fluid in motion could be detected by its action on polarized light.” This sentence written by James C. Maxwell [1] in 1873 meant the very beginning of flow birefringence. Through many decades, this technique has been improved and now it represents a powerful tool for investigation mainly unstable and unwanted polymer melt flow phenomena [2-5].

One of these phenomena occurring in the extrusion of polymer melts is the generation of vortices, where the melt rotates very slowly. The residence time of the macromolecules in these zones is very long and therefore the molecules can degrade [6-9]. Thus, from the processing point of view, the polymer as well as the processing conditions and the die design should be chosen carefully to avoid this undesirable phenomenon. To optimize the manufacturing processes, the understanding of the reasons for the vortex development during the flow of polymer melts is necessary.

However, the experimental visualization study of vortices in the slit contraction geometries is really difficult task. The difficulties can be explained in the following way. Vortices are generated by rotating particles (on the molecular level by polymer macromolecules), thus velocity field is the crucial quantity to measure and study.
Moreover, in the entry region of the slit die (which is necessary to use for visualization purpose), the velocity field has three-dimensional nature [10], which means that the velocity is changed across the length and also the width of the slit. Measurement of such complex velocity field can be reached by two main techniques: laser-Doppler velocimetry (LDV) [11, 12] or particle tracking velocimetry (PTV) [13].

The first, LDV, measures direction and speed of any particle through two laser beams which are intersected in the focal point of a laser where they interfere and generate a set of straight fringes. As particle passes through the fringes, it reflects light and by measuring the Doppler frequency-shift of the scattered light is possible to calculate the direction and velocity of the tracer particle. Although, this measurement is very precise, it is also very time-consuming and as the consequence of this, study material can thermally degrade inside the vortices which can be possible source of error especially in the case of polymer melts with low level of thermal stability.

The second, PTV, is based on adding small quantities of micro-sized glass beads into the hopper of the extruder and mixed with the bulk polymer to provide isokinetic seeding of the flow. Then, these beads in the slit extrusion die visualize track for each streamline. With utilization so-called “laser-sheet” can be visualized and then recorded velocity field for different plane inside the die. This technique is also time-consuming and another problem consists in deformation of real streamline by the glass bead mass.

From this brief overview of velocity field measurement techniques it is clear, that the main problem is long testing time. Therefore, the main aim of this paper is to investigate if polymer melt flow induced stress birefringence, which actually visualizes stress not velocity, is possible to use for quick and sufficiently precise determination of vortex shape/size in entry region of the slit visualization extrusion die.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Experimental investigation of mass flow rate/temperature influence on vortex shape/size in entry region of slit die was performed for LDPE Lupolen 1840H (Lyondell Basell, Germany, basic characteristics are summarized in [12]) polymer melt on special flow birefringence extrusion line which is in detail described below also with chosen experimental processing conditions.

**Experimental Setup**

The principle of flow birefringence measurement [14-17] can be explained with the help of plane polariscope. The polarizer converts the source light into plane polarized light. When monochromatic polarized light enters specimen (polymer melt flow in the visualization cell), it is refracted into two plane polarized components of which velocities are dependent on refractive index of specimen. Then the analyzer resolves the components into one plane so that the effect produced by the orientation in specimen can be measured from the resulting interference waves. Two distinct fringe patterns can be observed, isoclinic and isochromatic. Isoclinic fringes are produced by plane polarized condition whereas isochromatic ones by circular polarized condition.
The overall 3D view of the Bradford experimental flow visualization setup is depicted on Figure 1. It is consisted from conventional single screw extruder Betol BK38 (Davis-Standard, UK) with diameter of 38 mm and length of 30D heated by four electrically powered zones, video recorder for recording experimental birefringence movies on analog tape (25 frames per second), two computers for controlling extruder and transferring birefringence movies from analog to digital (through BT848 based PC-TV card), respectively and optical bench moveable in all three dimensions.
Detail schematic view of the optical bench is described in Figure 2. From right to left is consisted of light source (mercury vapour lamp with wavelength $\lambda = 546$ nm), polariser plate, two quarter wave plates (between them is placed flow visualization cell), analyser plate, colour filter and CCD analog video camera (Pulnix PEC 3010 model with resolution of 720x576 pixels) equipped by Nikon lens and extension tube of 15 mm in length.

The flow visualization cell is made as universal slit die with strain-free borosilicate glass windows placed on either sides of the flow visualization cell to observe flow behaviour of polymer melt in the entrance region of the die, one pressure transducer in
range of 1,500 PSI (10.3421 MPa), and rectangular slit flow geometry formed by two inside-die steel inserts. The detail of the flow geometry used in all our measurements is shown in Figure 3. Contraction ratio is 16:1 and the dimensions are following: upstream channel depth $H = 15$ mm, slit width $W = 10$ mm, slit gap $G = 0.9375$ mm and slit length $L = 15$ mm.

FIGURE 3. Schematic view of two inside-die steel inserts determining flow geometry (not in scale).

The experimental investigation was carried out under following conditions. Extruder zones were heated on temperatures (from the hopper to the die): $T_1 = 150^\circ C$, $T_2 = 160^\circ C$, $T_3 = 160^\circ C$ and $T_4 = 160^\circ C$, respectively. Two transition parts between extruder and flow visualization cell as well as flow visualization cell were stepwise heated on $135^\circ C$, $130^\circ C$ (die temperature of $130^\circ C$), $150^\circ C$, $150^\circ C$ (die temperature of $150^\circ C$), $162^\circ C$, $170^\circ C$ (die temperature of $170^\circ C$), $170^\circ C$, $190^\circ C$ (die temperature of $190^\circ C$), respectively. Flow visualization experiments were performed as 15 minutes tests at different mass flow rates ranging from 0.04 kg.hr$^{-1}$ up to 1.7 kg.hr$^{-1}$ (i.e. from 10 s$^{-1}$ up to 440 s$^{-1}$ in terms of apparent shear rates). These rates varied according to investigated temperature and it should be finally mentioned that the maximum apparent shear rate was chosen close under appearance of well-known melt fracture instability.

Results and Discussion

As the first, validation of vortex shape determined from stress birefringence measurement was performed. The result of this procedure is shown in Figure 4. On the right hand side is depicted real birefringence image composed from 58 single images (taken from the experimental birefringence movie in different duration times). In each image the particle in entry region is slightly moved, thus whole track for this particle can be viewed. The movement of the particle is firstly, on the vortex boundary, then one rotation inside the vortex and finally pushing out of the vortex to the slit. It is clearly seen that the streamline of such particle (moving along the vortex...
boundary) closely trace the shape of stress fringes. As introduced above, such mass particle can deform the streamline and also its position in width of the die is not exactly known. Another limitation is integral nature of flow birefringence measurement, which means that stress fringes are the summations of stresses in different vertical planes of the visualization cell. However, if these possible errors are kept in mind, this technique is much faster than other methods mentioned in the introduction section.

After this positive verification, all other vortex shapes and sizes have been digitized and calculated only from the flow birefringence images. For each processing condition, last frame (image) from each experimental movie was taken, then it was calibrated through four corner points and finally vortex shape was digitized and its size was calculated in special software Didger™ (Golden Software, USA). This procedure was repeated three times in order to eliminate “human error” in the creation of vortex shape by manually “clicking”. Some examples of this procedure are shown in Figures 5 and 6.

Firstly, in Figure 5 vortex shapes/sizes for different mass flow rates (MFR) at one processing temperature (170°C) are shown. It can be seen that the vortex area at increasing MFR firstly increasing and then decreasing. Secondly, the same trend, visible more pronounced, is shown in Figure 6 where, on the contrary with previous example, same MFR is kept and temperatures are changed from 130°C up to 190°C.
FIGURE 5. Visualization of the vortex boundaries for LDPE Lupolen 1840H at 170°C at different mass flow rates (in each graph, left hand side represents vortex boundary digitized from stress field, right hand side presents image of real flow birefringence stress field, respectively).
FIGURE 6. Visualization of the vortex boundaries for LDPE Lupolen 1840H at mass flow rate of 0.15 kg/hr for different processing temperatures (in each graph, left hand side represents vortex boundary digitized from stress field, right hand side presents image of real flow birefringence stress field, respectively).

**Effect of Mass Flow Rate on Vortex Size Development**

In order to better visualize the trends in vortex sizes, areas of digitized vortex shapes were plotted as functions of mass flow rates. The results are shown in Figures 7-10 and they can be described and explained in the following way. In all graphs vortex sizes are non-monotonic dependence of MFR (runs through local maximum). These dependences can be attributed to the non-monotonic behavior of extensional rate dependent planar extensional viscosity (well-known strain hardening). Similar results can be also found in open literature for the same polymer melt [12].
**FIGURE 7.** Visualization of the vortex size as the function of the mass flow rate during extrusion of LDPE Lupolen 1840H polymer melt at 130°C.

**FIGURE 8.** Visualization of the vortex size as the function of the mass flow rate during extrusion of LDPE Lupolen 1840H polymer melt at 150°C.
FIGURE 9. Visualization of the vortex size as the function of the mass flow rate during extrusion of LDPE Lupolen 1840H polymer melt at 170°C.

FIGURE 10. Visualization of the vortex size as the function of the mass flow rate during extrusion of LDPE Lupolen 1840H polymer melt at 190°C.
Effect of Temperature on Vortex Size Development

Temperature dependent vortex size development is shown in Figure 11. In this graph, data taken from open literature measured by precise laser-Doppler velocimetry technique [12] are also included to compare with our results. It should be noted that the error bars in literature’s data represent three independent measurements, but in our case they only mean three independent shape digitalization procedures. Thus, our error bars are more close to the average value.

From the comparison of both data sets it is clearly seen that the trend is the same. Thus, firstly size increases, reaches maximum and then decreases. Some differences can be explained by slightly different contraction ratio (14:1 in [12], 16:1 in this work) and also slightly different slit die dimensions.

The non-monotonic vortex size development can be explained by non-monotonic temperature dependent planar extensional viscosity. In this dependence, maximum moves from low extensional strain rates to higher ones for increasing melt temperatures. This seems to be the driving mechanism for the maximum appearance in the vortex size vs. temperature dependence.

**FIGURE 11.** Visualization of the vortex size as the function of the temperature during extrusion of LDPE Lupolen 1840H polymer melt at fixed mass flow rate (0.15 kg.hr⁻¹). Comparison with the results from open literature is also included.

This preliminary study investigates new methodology for vortex shape/size evaluation. The trends obtained from this technique are similar as published in open literature [12] for the same material, similar slit die contraction ratio and processing conditions. Thus, with keeping some limitations in mind, flow induced stress
birefringence technique can be considered as quick and sufficiently precise method for evaluation of vortices in entry regions, especially for thermally non-stable polymer melts where short testing time is the crucial condition.

CONCLUSION

It has been demonstrated that stress birefringence technique can be used for the vortex shape/size determination. It has been found that the vortex size primarily increases, reaches a maximum and then it decreases again with increasing temperature and mass flow rate, which can be attributed to the non-monotonic behavior of the planar extensional viscosity for the branched LDPE polymers. Moreover, these results are in good agreement with data published in open literature.
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